10 NAAQS pursuant to Clean Air Act section 176(c).

- (11) The provisions for assuring adequate resources, personnel, and legal authority to carry out the plan for the annual and 24-hour PM-10 NAAQS pursuant to Clean Air Act section 110(a)(2)(E)(i).
- (k) The Administrator approves the revised Enhanced Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Program for the Maricopa County carbon monoxide and ozone nonattainment area submitted by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality on July 6, 2001 and April 10, 2002 as meeting the requirements of Clean Air Act sections 182(c)(3) and 187(a)(6) and the requirements for high enhanced inspection and maintenance programs contained in 40 CFR part 51, subpart S.
- (1) 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS: The SIPs submitted on October 14, 2009 and August 24, 2012 are fully or partially disapproved for Clean Air Act (CAA) elements 110(a)(2)(C), (D)(ii), (J) and (K) for all portions of the Arizona SIP; for CAA element 110(a)(2)(E)(ii) for the Maricopa County, Pima County, and Pinal County portions of the Arizona SIP; and for CAA element 110(a)(2)(F) for the Pima County portion of the Arizona SIP.
- (m) 1997 $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS: The SIPs submitted on October 14, 2009 and August 24, 2012 are fully or partially disapproved for Clean Air Act (CAA) elements 110(a)(2)(C), (D)(ii), (J) and (K) for all portions of the Arizona SIP; for CAA element 110(a)(2)(E)(ii) for the Maricopa County, Pima County, and Pinal County portions of the Arizona SIP; and for CAA element 110(a)(2)(F) for the Pima County portion of the Arizona SIP.
- (n) 2006 $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS: The SIPs submitted on October 14, 2009 and August 24, 2012 are fully or partially disapproved for Clean Air Act (CAA) elements 110(a)(2)(C), (D)(i)(II) (interfere with measures in any other state to prevent significant deterioration of air quality), (D)(ii), (J) and (K) for all portions of the Arizona SIP; for CAA element 110(a)(2)(E)(ii) for the Maricopa County, Pima County, and Pinal County portions of the Arizona SIP; and for

CAA element 110(a)(2)(F) for the Pima County portion of the Arizona SIP.

[38 FR 33373, Dec. 3, 1973, as amended at 48 FR 254, Jan. 4, 1983; 51 FR 3336, Jan. 27, 1986; 51 FR 33750, Sept. 23, 1986; 62 FR 41864, Aug. 4, 1997; 63 FR 28904, May 27, 1998; 63 FR 41350, Aug. 3, 1998; 65 FR 36358, June 8, 2000; 67 FR 48739, July 25, 2002; 68 FR 2914, Jan. 22, 2003; 77 FR 66404, Nov. 5, 2012]

$\S 52.124$ Part D disapproval.

- (a) The following portions of the Arizona SIP are disapproved because they do not meet the requirements of Part D of the Clean Air Act.
- (1) The attainment demonstration, conformity and contingency portions of the 1987 Maricopa Association of Governments Carbon Monoxide Plan and 1988 Addendum.
 - (2) [Reserved]
 - (b)-(c) [Reserved]

[56 FR 5478, Feb. 11, 1991, as amended at 62 FR 41864, Aug. 4, 1997; 63 FR 41350, Aug. 3, 1998; 65 FR 36358, June 8, 2000; 67 FR 48739, July 25, 2002]

§ 52.125 Control strategy and regulations: Sulfur oxides.

(a)(1) The requirements of subpart G of this chapter are not met since the control strategy does not analyze the impact of smelter fugitive emissions on ambient air quality (except at Hayden, Arizona) in the Central Arizona Intrastate, the Pima Intrastate, and the Southeast Arizona Intrastate (Cochise and Greenlee counties) Regions. Arizona must submit these smelter fugitive emissions control strategies to EPA by August 1, 1984. In addition, the requirements of §51.281 of this chapter are not met since the plan does not require permanent control of fugitive smelter emissions necessary to attain and maintain the national standards for sulfur oxides. The control strategy for Hayden shows that these controls are required to attain and maintain the national standards, and the fugitive control strategy analyses required above may show that they are required for some or all of the other smelter towns in Arizona. Arizona must submit all fugitive emissions control regulations necessary to attain and maintain the national standards for sulfur oxides to EPA by August 1, 1984. Therefore, the control strategies and regulations